

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/551,252

Applicant(s)  
Brodbeck et al.

Examiner  
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit  
3724



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Robert Kestenbaum

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 6, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Mr. Kestenbaum called in response to the Miscellaneous Office action (paper no. 11) mailed January 29, 2003 which stated that applicant's response filed April 3, 2002 was not fully responsive. After reviewing this matter, Mr. Dexter stated that applicant's response filed April 3, 2002 appears to be sufficient except that the abstract remains improper due to the use of "said." Mr. Kestenbaum stated that an amended abstract would be submitted to correct this matter. Mr. Dexter stated that this telephone interview is considered to be fully responsive to the Miscellaneous Office action mailed on January 29, 2003 and that no further response is required at this time. Mr. Dexter noted that the corrected abstract should be included with applicant's next response. However, because this telephone interview is over 1 month from the mailing date of the Miscellaneous Office action (paper no. 11), an extension fee for a 1 month extension of the time is required. Mr. Kestenbaum authorized the charging of a 1 month extension fee to Deposit Account 11-0665.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3724

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.